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**A brief summary of presentations (If any)**

Kuo-wei Wu ICANN Board of Directors’ Liaison – Update on IANA Functions’ Stewardship Transition

Kuo-wei provided a status update on the IANA Functions’ Stewardship Transition.

**A substantive summary and the main issues that were raised:**

The participants were invited to identify specific areas/topics they would like to discuss regarding the IANA Functions' Stewardship Transition. The top topics based on a 'vote' (by raising of hands) from the participants that were discussed at length were:

* **Feasibility of the USG's conditions (i.e. principles) to the process and eventual outcome of the transition**
  + Some stakeholder groups believe in government-led processes. To get them involved, we should try to address their concerns to make them feel more comfortable in addressing the IANA transition process through the multi-stakeholder model.
  + It is unclear how the US Department of Commerce would assess the final proposal presented by the multi-stakeholder community (i.e. How would NTIA determine whether to adopt the proposal or not?). Transparency is required in this regard.
* **What do we expect to see after the transition**
  + What problem does the IANA stewardship transition aim to fix exactly?
  + Why are we going through this process? How will things get better after the goal of the transition is achieved?
* **Timeline of Transition**
  + It was generally agreed that the September 2015 timeline is short. What happens if this deadline is not met?
  + To involve stakeholders outside of the ICANN community within this short timeframe would be even more challenging. Amongst others, how do we engage ccTLDs that are not part of the ccNSO?
* **Definition of the term global multi-stakeholder community**
  + There remains to be seen a universally agreed definition of the term "multi-stakeholder". In this regard, is there a working definition of the term “multi-stakeholder”?
  + Is it possible for the US government to reject the multi-stakeholder community’s proposal?

**Conclusion & Further Comments:**

The discussion points will be fed to ICANN and the process on the IANA functions’ stewardship transition.